

COMPREHENSIVE INTERNAL FACULTY SELF EVALUATION REPORT BASED ON INTERNAL QUALITY ASSURANCE MANUAL

FACULTY OF

ARCHITECTURE AND SPATIAL PLANNING

September 2025

Master level Prishtina

UBT College Internal Quality Assurance Review Standards For Program and Faculty Evaluation

Chapter 1: Mission, Objectives, and Administration of the Faculty

Standard 1.1: The study program aligns with the higher education institution's mission, strategic goals, and societal needs and they are made publicly available.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Program's content and structure alignment with the institution's mission and goals	10	
Study program's recognition of HEI's specifics	10	
Intended learning outcomes alignment with the institution's mission and goals	10	
Delivery of study program supported by a needs analysis	10	
Justification of student enrollment numbers in the study program	10	
Facilities and equipment adequacy for student enrollment	9	Facilities are largely sufficient, but some areas may need further modernization or expansion to fully meet future demands.

1.2 Academic Integrity and Freedom

Standard 1.2: The study program adheres to policies and procedures on academic integrity and freedom that prevent all forms of unethical behavior. These policies are publicly available, and all stakeholders are informed.

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Presence of written procedures addressing unethical behaviors	10	

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Implementation evidence of anti-plagiarism procedures	10	
Ethical standards awareness among students and staff	10	
Efficiency of mechanisms monitoring potential unethical behaviors	10	

1.3 Information management

Standard 1.3: Relevant information is collected, analyzed, and utilized to ensure effective management of the study program and other activities. This information is publicly available.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of the information management system	10	
Ethical and government policy considerations for data protection	10	
Involvement of students and staff in information provision and analysis	9	Participation is strong, but it could be further improved by establishing more systematic feedback mechanisms and broadening stakeholder involvement.

1.4 Administrative Support

Standard 1.4: The study program is bolstered by appropriate and sufficient administrative support to achieve its goals in teaching, learning, research, and community service.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of policy and review process for study program activities	9	The policy and review process is well-structured and effective, but minor gaps remain in demonstrating full impact
Sufficiency of administration for teaching and learning needs	9	Administrative support sufficiently meets teaching and learning needs, but some areas could benefit from enhanced specialization

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of a professional development plan for administrative staff	9	Plan is in place and relevant, but needs clearer implementation timelines or more tailored training opportunities.
Structural involvement of administrative staff in professional development	9	Involvement is evident, but could be enhanced through more consistent monitoring or stronger incentives for participation.

1.5 Implementation of Quality Improvement Recommendations

Standard 1.5: Recommendations from previous internal and external quality assurance procedures are actively implemented for the study program's quality enhancement.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Analysis and action based on	8	Follow-up actions are evident, but the connection
previous QA		between recommendations and implemented
recommendations		improvements requires more systematic evaluation.

Chapter 2: Quality Management

1.1 Internal Quality Assurance System

Standard 2.1.: The delivery of the study program is governed by a robust internal quality assurance system, involving all pertinent stakeholders.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Conformance of internal QA system with national, ESG, and international standards	10	
Availability of a public quality assurance policy covering all program delivery aspects	9	The policy is clear and accessible, but stronger proof of implementation across all delivery modes and more frequent updates are required.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence and definition of internal QA procedures for the study program	10	
Support from institution's/academic unit's quality assurance coordinators	10	
Continuous improvement cycle (PDCA) formation by QA policies and processes	9	The cycle is well established, but outcomes and measurable impact need to be more fully documented and demonstrated.
Adequacy of monitoring plan for QA procedures and inclusive stakeholder participation in revisions	8	A monitoring plan is in place, but stakeholder inclusiveness and clarity in feedback integration remain limited.

1.2 Design and Approval Process

Standard 2.2: The study program adheres to a design and approval process established by the HEI.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Alignment of study program development with institution's mission and goals	10	
Transparency and adequacy of internal QA process and approval from strategic management bodies	10	
Definition and inclusiveness of development and approval process	9	Process is clearly defined, but inclusiveness should fully extend to all external stakeholders.
Regular monitoring of key performance indicators for program delivery quality	9	Monitoring is consistent, though reporting or follow-up actions could be more systematic.
Inclusion of all stakeholders during approval process (staff, students, alumni, industry, civil society)	8	Stakeholders are included, but their engagement is not yet fully balanced. It could be more structured and consistent.

1.3 Periodic Monitoring and Review

Standard 2.3.: Regular monitoring and reviews are conducted for the study program to ensure objectives are met, with stakeholder participation.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Regular monitoring for societal needs alignment of the program	10	
Checks on workload (ECTS) allocation and learning outcomes achievability	10	
Involvement of stakeholders in monitoring processes, including feedback mechanisms	9	Stakeholders are actively involved, but the process could be strengthened by ensuring more systematic follow-up.
Regular stakeholder questionnaires and integration of feedback into improvement processes	9	Questionnaires are conducted and feedback used, but the follow-up actions or evidence of impact should be more clearly communicated to stakeholders.
Defined processes for monitoring and improvement of student practices, if applicable	8	Processes for monitoring and improving student practices are defined, but they are not yet fully systematic
Analysis of collected information and action-taking for program currency	10	
Communication and publication of monitoring results and action plans	9	Results and plans are shared, but the accessibility, clarity, and timeliness of communication could be improved to reach all audiences effectively.

1.4 Information Transparency

Standard 2.4: All vital information about the study program is transparent, accurate, updated, and publicly available.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Public availability of all policies, regulations, and guidelines related to the program	9	Most documents are online, but a few are outdated
Publication of admission criteria, recognition, syllabuses, learning outcomes, credits, assessment methods, and final qualification	10	
Public availability and objective presentation of pass rate, dropout rate, and graduate employment	8	Data is published, but not directly and is incomplete, not regularly updated.
Accuracy, reliability, and regular updating of publicly available information on the program	10	

Chapter 3: Academic Staff

3.1 Recruitment of Teaching Staff

Standard 3.1: The teaching staff recruitment for the study program adheres to national legislation and internal regulations, ensuring an objective and transparent procedure.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
National and international advertisement of vacancies	9	Vacancies are well advertised, but outreach could be broadened through additional international platforms or more targeted promotion
Clarity and transparency of staff recruitment and employment conditions	10	
Adequacy of procedures for optimal candidate selection	10	
Provision of complete job descriptions and employment conditions to candidates	10	

3.2 Adequacy and Qualification of Academic Staff

Standard 3.2: The study program is delivered by adequately qualified academic staff ensuring effective knowledge transfer.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of staff number and qualifications for program delivery	9	Staffing is sufficient and qualified, but minor gaps exist in only a few specialized expertise.
Limitations on academic staff covering multiple teaching positions	10	
Appropriate student-teacher ratio and academic staff workload	10	
Relevance of academic staff qualifications to courses taught	10	
Efficiency of mentorship and guidance provided to students	10	

3.3 Advancement and Reappointment of Staff

Standard 3.3.: The study program's academic staff undergoes advancement and reappointment based on transparent and objective procedures, reflecting excellence.

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Transparency and objectivity of teacher advancement procedures	10	
Basis of staff promotion on excellence and significant achievements	10	
Consideration of feedback in staff advancement and contract renewals	10	

3.4 Professional Development Support

Standard 3.4: Academic staff involved in the study program is entitled to institutional support for their professional growth.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of an annual plan for staff professional development	10	
Evidence of staff participation in professional development programs	10	
Institutional support for skills development related to assessment methods	9	Support is solid, but needs wider participation to ensure consistent application across all staff.
Encouragement and support for staff's international mobility and collaborations	10	
Organization of training on teaching preparation and delivery methods	10	
Onboarding and training provisions for newly employed staff	8	Onboarding and training provisions exist, but they could be made more comprehensive and standardized across all departments
Support mechanisms for staff research programs	9	The direct financial support for research and publishing may increase in order to increase the frequency of staff publications

3.5 Involvement of External Associates

Standard 3.5: External associates involved in the study program possess suitable qualifications and work experience, ensuring the program's intended learning outcomes.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Integration of latest research and market trends by external associates	10	

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Provision of specific training for external associates	8	Training is provided, but needs consistent evaluation of its effectiveness.
Encouragement of external associates in supervising final theses	8	Involvement is encouraged, but participation may increase
Clarity in workload and quality expectations from external associates	10	

Chapter 4: Educational Process Content Delivery

4.1 Formulation of Learning Outcomes

Standard 4.1: The study program's intended learning outcomes are meticulously formulated, ensuring alignment with the institution's mission and strategic goals.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Alignment of learning outcomes with institutional mission and goals	10	
Compatibility of intended learning outcomes with program goals	10	
Student-centric formulation of intended learning outcomes	10	
Adoption of best practices in defining intended learning outcomes	9	Practices are strong, but may need more stakeholder validation to ensure full alignment.
Classification of outcomes as knowledge, skills, and competences	9	Clear classification is applied, though some outcomes might overlap or lack measurable indicators.
Comparison of learning outcomes with similar EHEA programs	9	Comparisons are made, but could be expanded with more international references or detailed benchmarking data.

4.2 Compliance with National and European Frameworks

Standard 4.2: The program's intended learning outcomes are consistent with the National Qualification Framework and European Qualifications Framework level descriptors.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Alignment with National and European Frameworks	10	
Distinction of undergraduate and graduate level outcomes	10	
Absence of overlapping outcomes across different programs	10	

4.3 Curriculum Content and Structure

Standard 4.3: The study program's curriculum content and structure are coherent, facilitating smooth student progression and achievement of intended outcomes.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Logical flow of courses within the curriculum	10	
Rules defining the order of student progression	10	
Coverage of core disciplines for competency development	10	
Comparability with similar foreign study programs	10	

4.4 Compliance with Regulated Professions

Standard 4.4: If applicable, the study program meets the requirements of EU Directives and adheres to guidelines set by national and international professional associations.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Compatibility with EU Directives	10	
Integration of professional association recommendations	10	

4.5 Student Practice Period Outcomes

Standard 4.5: If applicable, the outcomes of the student practice period are clearly defined, with effective processes ensuring students understand the intended outcomes and associated learning strategies.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of a comprehensive regulation for student practice	10	
Mentorship provision for students during practice	10	
Allocation of ECTS credits to practical work	10	
Collaboration with external entities for student practice	10	

4.6 Delivery through Student-Centered Teaching

Standard 4.6: The study program adopts a student-centered teaching approach, promoting active engagement and effective learning.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of a didactic concept supporting learning outcomes	10	
Use of varied pedagogical methods aligned with outcomes	10	
Implementation of interactive and research-based learning methods	9	Methods are well applied, but consistency across courses and wider use of innovative digital tools should increase.
Continuous evaluation and adaptation of teaching methods	8	Evaluation is practiced, but feedback loops should be fully systematic, and adaptation could be more evidence-based or timely.
Tailoring of teaching methods for diverse student populations	9	Adaptations are made, but further improvements are needed to fully address all learning styles, cultural backgrounds, and specific support needs.
Integration of modern technology in program delivery	10	

4.7 Assessment and Evaluation

Standard 4.7: Assessments within the study program are objective and consistent, ensuring the achievement of intended learning outcomes.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

	I	T
Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Clear mapping of learning outcomes to program components	10	Comments
Systematic assessment of all relevant areas of knowledge, skills, and competences	9	Assessment is comprehensive, but certain competencies need diverse evaluation methods or deeper alignment with learning outcomes.
Publication and dissemination of assessment and grading criteria	10	
Ensuring objective and reliable grading	10	
Timely feedback provision to students post-evaluation	10	
Presence of an efficient student appeals procedure	10	
Achievement of course learning outcomes	9	Learning outcomes are generally achieved, but measurement of higher-order skills or long-term impact should be more evident.
Clear assessment rubrics linked to each learning outcome	8	Rubrics are provided, but should contain more sufficient detail, consistency across courses, and clear guidance on performance levels for students.

4.8 Evaluation in terms of ECTS

Standard 4.8: Learning outcomes are assessed based on student workload and are expressed in ECTS.

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Translation of assessment criteria into learning outcomes	10	
Workload calculation and ECTS assignment for all learning activities	10	

Chapter 5: Students

5.1 Admission Policies

Standard 5.1: The study program's admission policies, including requirements, criteria, and processes, are transparent, comprehensive, and publicly available.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Clarity and comprehensiveness of admission requirements	10	
Adherence to national prerequisites for various levels	10	
Fair and consistent application of admission criteria	10	
Established procedures for recognition of study periods	10	
Feedback from student surveys on the admission process	10	

5.2 Monitoring Student Progression

Standard 5.2: The study program consistently collects and analyzes data on student progression, ensuring measures are in place to facilitate completion.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Effectiveness of the student progress monitoring system	9	System is effective, but could be enhanced with more predictive analytics, personalized feedback, or earlier intervention mechanisms.
Regular analysis of student progression and completion rates	8	Analysis is carried out, but reporting should be fully detailed, systematically benchmarked, and consistently used to inform improvements.
Dissemination of monitoring results to staff and students	10	
Defined progression possibilities and student awareness	10	
Feedback from student surveys on progression support	10	

5.3 Support for National and International Students

Standard 5.3: The study program provides adequate conditions and assistance for both outgoing and incoming students, whether national or international.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Information dissemination about international mobility programs	10	
Support and encouragement for student involvement in mobility programs	10	
Adequacy of ECTS credit recognition regulations	10	
Availability of foreign language information on admissions	10	
Active attraction and support mechanisms for foreign students	9	Fully English accredited program may increase the attraction
Feedback from student surveys on international exchange experiences	10	

5.4 Resource Provision for Diverse Student Support

Standard 5.4: The study program provides adequate resources for student support, considering the diverse needs of various student populations.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Sufficiency and qualification of staff for student support	10	
Transparency and availability of student services information	10	
Availability of guidance on study and career opportunities	10	
Clarity of structures and procedures for appeals and complaints	10	
Provision and promotion of extracurricular activities	10	
Feedback from student surveys on support services and resources	10	

Chapter 6: Research

6.1 Alignment with Institution's Mission and Research Goals

Standard 6.1: The delivery of the study program is in congruence with the institution's/academic unit's mission and its research strategic objectives.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of clear research objectives that mirror the institution's research strategy	10	
Adequate provision of financial, logistic, and human resources for research objectives	8	The direct financial support for research and publishing may increase in order to increase the frequency of staff publications
Clarity and adherence to policies defining recognized research standards	10	

6.2 High-Quality Research Commitment by Academic Staff

Standard 6.2: Academic staff involved in the study program is motivated and facilitated to undertake high-quality research or professional activities.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Validation of staff research through diverse outputs (publications, projects, etc.)	10	
Frequency and quality of staff publications in renowned venues	9	The direct financial support for research and publishing may increase in order to increase the frequency of staff publications
Relevant qualifications and professional experience of staff in professional bachelor study programs	10	

6.3 Collaboration and Partnership in Research

Standard 6.3: Academic staff associated with the study program is encouraged and supported to collaborate with both national and international partners in their research endeavors.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Engagement of staff in community- related research and development services	8	Staff are engaged, but activities may be fully institutionalized and broadly recognized within the community.
Establishment and maintenance of collaborative research ties with other HEIs	8	Collaborations exist, but should increase and should be broadened in scope. The continuity and international reach should increase
Involvement and support for collaborations with local business partners	9	Partnerships are active, but could be further strengthened through more structured frameworks or long-term strategic agreements.
Active participation in technology transfer and knowledge sharing with industry and the public sector	9	Engagement is evident, but needs deeper long-term partnerships and more systematic tracking of impact and outcomes.

6.4 Integration of Research into Teaching

Standard 6.4: Academic staff involved in the study program integrates their research outcomes into their teaching methodologies and topics.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Incorporation of research results into teaching by the academic staff	8	Research is integrated, but not consistently across all courses, and some teaching relies more on established content than on latest findings.
Active engagement of students in research activities alongside the academic staff	9	Student involvement is strong, but could be broadened through more structured research projects, wider participation across programs, or increased publication opportunities

Chapter 7: Infrastructure and Resources

7.1 Adequate Premises and Equipment

Standard 7.1: The higher education institution provides suitable infrastructure, including premises and equipment, to facilitate educational and research activities.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of premises and equipment for educational and research activities	10	
Equipped laboratories with IT technologies for necessary curriculum activities	10	
Access to necessary software with valid licenses	10	
Functionality of infrastructure for enrolled student capacity	10	
Facilities adapted for students with special needs	8	Adaptations are in place, but do not fully cover all accessibility requirements, assistive technologies, and inclusive support services.

7.2 Library Resources

Standard 7.2: The higher education institution ensures that library resources are ample and suitable for the study program.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Availability of reading rooms, group work rooms, and relevant book stock	9	Facilities are available, but capacity should be increased
Extended operating hours for library services	10	

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequate seating in reading and group work rooms based on student population	8	Seating is generally sufficient, but peak times may reveal shortages or limited flexibility in room allocation.
Stock of recent and relevant books and electronic resources	10	
Subscriptions to local and international publications and periodicals	10	

7.3 Funding for Educational Activities and Research

Standard 7.3: The study program receives adequate funding to support its intended educational and research objectives.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Existence of a sustainable financial plan for the study program	10	
Acquisition of additional funding through projects, partnerships, and community collaboration	8	Funding efforts are visible, but scope is limited, with potential to diversify sources further.
Utilization of extra financial resources for program enhancement	8	Resources are used effectively, but impact should be fully documented, or allocation could be more strategically targeted.

Bachelor level Prishtina

UBT College Internal Quality Assurance Review Standards For Program and Faculty Evaluation

Chapter 1: Mission, Objectives, and Administration of the Faculty

Standard 1.1: The study program aligns with the higher education institution's mission, strategic goals, and societal needs and they are made publicly available.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Program's content and structure alignment with the institution's mission and goals	10	
Study program's recognition of HEI's specifics	10	
Intended learning outcomes alignment with the institution's mission and goals	10	
Delivery of study program supported by a needs analysis	9	Well-structured and relevant, but the needs analysis lack more recent stakeholder input
Justification of student enrollment numbers in the study program	9	Justification is sound, but could be improved with stronger labor market data or long-term trend analysis.
Facilities and equipment adequacy for student enrollment	9	Facilities are largely sufficient, but some areas may need further modernization or expansion to fully meet future demands.

1.2 Academic Integrity and Freedom

Standard 1.2: The study program adheres to policies and procedures on academic integrity and freedom that prevent all forms of unethical behavior. These policies are publicly available, and all stakeholders are informed.

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Presence of written procedures addressing unethical behaviors	10	

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Implementation evidence of anti-plagiarism procedures	10	
Ethical standards awareness among students and staff	10	
Efficiency of mechanisms monitoring potential unethical behaviors	10	

1.3 Information management

Standard 1.3: Relevant information is collected, analyzed, and utilized to ensure effective management of the study program and other activities. This information is publicly available.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of the information management system	9	System is functional and comprehensive, but lacks advanced analytics or integration across all units
Ethical and government policy considerations for data protection	10	
Involvement of students and staff in information provision and analysis	9	Participation is good, but could be strengthened through more systematic feedback loops or wider engagement.

1.4 Administrative Support

Standard 1.4: The study program is bolstered by appropriate and sufficient administrative support to achieve its goals in teaching, learning, research, and community service.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of policy and review process for study program activities	10	
Sufficiency of administration for teaching and learning needs	10	

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of a professional development plan for administrative staff	9	Plan is in place and relevant, but needs clearer implementation timelines or more tailored training opportunities.
Structural involvement of administrative staff in professional development	9	Involvement is evident, but could be enhanced through more consistent monitoring or stronger incentives for participation.

1.5 Implementation of Quality Improvement Recommendations

Standard 1.5: Recommendations from previous internal and external quality assurance procedures are actively implemented for the study program's quality enhancement.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Analysis and action based on previous QA recommendations	8	Follow-up actions are visible, but the link between recommendations and implemented improvements need systematic evaluation.

Chapter 2: Quality Management

1.5 Internal Quality Assurance System

Standard 2.1.: The delivery of the study program is governed by a robust internal quality assurance system, involving all pertinent stakeholders.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Conformance of internal QA system with national, ESG, and international standards	10	
Availability of a public quality assurance policy covering all program delivery aspects	9	Policy is comprehensive and accessible, but needs clearer evidence of implementation across all delivery modes and more frequent updates.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence and definition of internal QA procedures for the study program	10	
Support from institution's/academic unit's quality assurance coordinators	10	
Continuous improvement cycle (PDCA) formation by QA policies and processes	9	Cycle is well established, but the documentation of outcomes and measurable impact needs to be fully demonstrated.
Adequacy of monitoring plan for QA procedures and inclusive stakeholder participation in revisions	8	Monitoring plan exists, but inclusiveness of stakeholders and clarity in feedback integration appears limited.

1.6 Design and Approval Process

Standard 2.2: The study program adheres to a design and approval process established by the HEI.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Alignment of study program development with institution's mission and goals	10	
Transparency and adequacy of internal QA process and approval from strategic management bodies	10	
Definition and inclusiveness of development and approval process	9	Process is clearly defined, but inclusiveness should fully extend to all external stakeholders.
Regular monitoring of key performance indicators for program delivery quality	9	Monitoring is consistent, though reporting or follow-up actions could be more systematic.
Inclusion of all stakeholders during approval process (staff, students, alumni, industry, civil society)	9	Stakeholders are involved, but engagement should be more balanced or equally strong across all groups.

1.7 Periodic Monitoring and Review

Standard 2.3.: Regular monitoring and reviews are conducted for the study program to ensure objectives are met, with stakeholder participation.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Regular monitoring for societal needs alignment of the program	10	
Checks on workload (ECTS) allocation and learning outcomes achievability	10	
Involvement of stakeholders in monitoring processes, including feedback mechanisms	10	
Regular stakeholder questionnaires and integration of feedback into improvement processes	9	Questionnaires are conducted and feedback used, but the follow-up actions or evidence of impact should be more clearly communicated to stakeholders.
Defined processes for monitoring and improvement of student practices, if applicable	10	
Analysis of collected information and action-taking for program currency	10	
Communication and publication of monitoring results and action plans	9	Results and plans are shared, but the accessibility, clarity, and timeliness of communication could be improved to reach all audiences effectively.

1.8 Information Transparency

Standard 2.4: All vital information about the study program is transparent, accurate, updated, and publicly available.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Public availability of all policies, regulations, and guidelines related to the program	9	Most documents are online, but a few are outdated
Publication of admission criteria, recognition, syllabuses, learning outcomes, credits, assessment methods, and final qualification	10	
Public availability and objective presentation of pass rate, dropout rate, and graduate employment	8	Data is published, but not directly and is incomplete, not regularly updated.
Accuracy, reliability, and regular updating of publicly available information on the program	10	

Chapter 3: Academic Staff

3.1 Recruitment of Teaching Staff

Standard 3.1: The teaching staff recruitment for the study program adheres to national legislation and internal regulations, ensuring an objective and transparent procedure.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
National and international advertisement of vacancies	9	Vacancies are well advertised, but outreach could be broadened through additional international platforms or more targeted promotion.
Clarity and transparency of staff recruitment and employment conditions	10	
Adequacy of procedures for optimal candidate selection	10	

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Provision of complete job descriptions and employment conditions to candidates	10	

3.2 Adequacy and Qualification of Academic Staff

Standard 3.2: The study program is delivered by adequately qualified academic staff ensuring effective knowledge transfer.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of staff number and qualifications for program delivery	9	Staffing is sufficient and qualified, but minor gaps exist in only a few specialized expertises
Limitations on academic staff covering multiple teaching positions	10	
Appropriate student-teacher ratio and academic staff workload	9	Ratios and workload are acceptable, but slight imbalances occur in certain courses or peak periods, affecting consistency.
Relevance of academic staff qualifications to courses taught	10	
Efficiency of mentorship and guidance provided to students	9	Mentorship is effective, but could be enhanced with more structured follow-up and personalized career guidance

3.3 Advancement and Reappointment of Staff

Standard 3.3.: The study program's academic staff undergoes advancement and reappointment based on transparent and objective procedures, reflecting excellence.

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Transparency and objectivity of teacher advancement procedures	10	
Basis of staff promotion on excellence and significant achievements	10	
Consideration of feedback in staff advancement and contract renewals	10	

3.4 Professional Development Support

Standard 3.4: Academic staff involved in the study program is entitled to institutional support for their professional growth.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of an annual plan for staff professional development	10	
Evidence of staff participation in professional development programs	10	
Institutional support for skills development related to assessment methods	9	Support is solid, but needs wider participation to ensure consistent application across all staff.
Encouragement and support for staff's international mobility and collaborations	10	
Organization of training on teaching preparation and delivery methods	10	
Onboarding and training provisions for newly employed staff	10	
Support mechanisms for staff research programs	9	The direct financial support for research and publishing may increase in order to increase the frequency of staff publications

3.5 Involvement of External Associates

Standard 3.5: External associates involved in the study program possess suitable qualifications and work experience, ensuring the program's intended learning outcomes.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Integration of latest research and market trends by external associates	9	Integration is evident, but should be fully aligned with and emerging interdisciplinary fields.
Provision of specific training for external associates	8	Training is provided, but needs consistent evaluation of its effectiveness.
Encouragement of external associates in supervising final theses	8	Involvement is encouraged, but participation may increase
Clarity in workload and quality expectations from external associates	10	

Chapter 4: Educational Process Content Delivery

4.1 Formulation of Learning Outcomes

Standard 4.1: The study program's intended learning outcomes are meticulously formulated, ensuring alignment with the institution's mission and strategic goals.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Alignment of learning outcomes with institutional mission and goals	10	
Compatibility of intended learning outcomes with program goals	10	
Student-centric formulation of intended learning outcomes	10	
Adoption of best practices in defining intended learning outcomes	9	Practices are strong, but may need more stakeholder validation to ensure full alignment.
Classification of outcomes as knowledge, skills, and competences	9	Clear classification is applied, though some outcomes might overlap or lack measurable indicators.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Comparison of learning outcomes with similar EHEA programs	9	Comparisons are made, but could be expanded with more international references or detailed benchmarking data.

4.2 Compliance with National and European Frameworks

Standard 4.2: The program's intended learning outcomes are consistent with the National Qualification Framework and European Qualifications Framework level descriptors.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Alignment with National and European Frameworks	10	
Distinction of undergraduate and graduate level outcomes	10	
Absence of overlapping outcomes across different programs	10	

4.3 Curriculum Content and Structure

Standard 4.3: The study program's curriculum content and structure are coherent, facilitating smooth student progression and achievement of intended outcomes.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Logical flow of courses within the curriculum	10	
Rules defining the order of student progression	10	
Coverage of core disciplines for competency development	10	
Comparability with similar foreign study programs	10	

4.4 Compliance with Regulated Professions

Standard 4.4: If applicable, the study program meets the requirements of EU Directives and adheres to guidelines set by national and international professional associations.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Compatibility with EU Directives	10	
Integration of professional association recommendations	10	

4.5 Student Practice Period Outcomes

Standard 4.5: If applicable, the outcomes of the student practice period are clearly defined, with effective processes ensuring students understand the intended outcomes and associated learning strategies.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of a comprehensive regulation for student practice	10	
Mentorship provision for students during practice	10	
Allocation of ECTS credits to practical work	10	
Collaboration with external entities for student practice	10	

4.6 Delivery through Student-Centered Teaching

Standard 4.6: The study program adopts a student-centered teaching approach, promoting active engagement and effective learning.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of a didactic concept supporting learning outcomes	10	
Use of varied pedagogical methods aligned with outcomes	10	
Implementation of interactive and research-based learning methods	9	Methods are well applied, but consistency across courses and wider use of innovative digital tools should increase.
Continuous evaluation and adaptation of teaching methods	8	Evaluation is practiced, but feedback loops should be fully systematic, and adaptation could be more evidence-based or timely.
Tailoring of teaching methods for diverse student populations	9	Adaptations are made, but further improvements are needed to fully address all learning styles, cultural backgrounds, and specific support needs.
Integration of modern technology in program delivery	10	

4.7 Assessment and Evaluation

Standard 4.7: Assessments within the study program are objective and consistent, ensuring the achievement of intended learning outcomes.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Clear mapping of learning outcomes to program components	10	
Systematic assessment of all relevant areas of knowledge, skills, and competences	9	Assessment is comprehensive, but certain competencies need diverse evaluation methods or deeper alignment with learning outcomes.
Publication and dissemination of assessment and grading criteria	10	
Ensuring objective and reliable grading	10	
Timely feedback provision to students post-evaluation	10	
Presence of an efficient student appeals procedure	10	
Achievement of course learning outcomes	9	Learning outcomes are generally achieved, but measurement of higher-order skills or long-term impact should be more evident.
Clear assessment rubrics linked to each learning outcome	8	Rubrics are provided, but should contain more sufficient detail, consistency across courses, and clear guidance on performance levels for students.

4.8 Evaluation in terms of ECTS

Standard 4.8: Learning outcomes are assessed based on student workload and are expressed in ECTS.

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Translation of assessment criteria into learning outcomes	10	

Indicator	Rating (1- 10)	Comments
Workload calculation and ECTS assignment for all learning activities	10	

Chapter 5: Students

5.1 Admission Policies

Standard 5.1: The study program's admission policies, including requirements, criteria, and processes, are transparent, comprehensive, and publicly available.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Clarity and comprehensiveness of admission requirements	10	
Adherence to national prerequisites for various levels	10	
Fair and consistent application of admission criteria	10	
Established procedures for recognition of study periods	10	
Feedback from student surveys on the admission process	10	

5.2 Monitoring Student Progression

Standard 5.2: The study program consistently collects and analyzes data on student progression, ensuring measures are in place to facilitate completion.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Effectiveness of the student progress monitoring system	9	System is effective, but could be enhanced with more predictive analytics, personalized feedback, or earlier intervention mechanisms.
Regular analysis of student progression and completion rates	8	Analysis is carried out, but reporting should be fully detailed, systematically benchmarked, and consistently used to inform improvements.
Dissemination of monitoring results to staff and students	10	
Defined progression possibilities and student awareness	10	

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Feedback from student surveys on progression	10	
support		

5.3 Support for National and International Students

Standard 5.3: The study program provides adequate conditions and assistance for both outgoing and incoming students, whether national or international.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Information dissemination about international mobility programs	10	
Support and encouragement for student involvement in mobility programs	10	
Adequacy of ECTS credit recognition regulations	10	
Availability of foreign language information on admissions	10	
Active attraction and support mechanisms for foreign students	9	Fully English accredited program may increase the attraction
Feedback from student surveys on international exchange experiences	10	

5.4 Resource Provision for Diverse Student Support

Standard 5.4: The study program provides adequate resources for student support, considering the diverse needs of various student populations.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Sufficiency and qualification of staff for student support	10	
Transparency and availability of student services information	10	
Availability of guidance on study and career opportunities	10	
Clarity of structures and procedures for appeals and complaints	10	
Provision and promotion of extracurricular activities	10	

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Feedback from student surveys on support services and resources	10	

Chapter 6: Research

6.1 Alignment with Institution's Mission and Research Goals

Standard 6.1: The delivery of the study program is in congruence with the institution's/academic unit's mission and its research strategic objectives.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Presence of clear research objectives that mirror the institution's research strategy	10	
Adequate provision of financial, logistic, and human resources for research objectives	8	The direct financial support for research and publishing may increase in order to increase the frequency of staff publications
Clarity and adherence to policies defining recognized research standards	10	

6.2 High-Quality Research Commitment by Academic Staff

Standard 6.2: Academic staff involved in the study program is motivated and facilitated to undertake high-quality research or professional activities.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Validation of staff research through diverse outputs (publications, projects, etc.)	10	
Frequency and quality of staff publications in renowned venues	9	The direct financial support for research and publishing may increase in order to increase the frequency of staff publications

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Relevant qualifications and professional experience of staff in professional bachelor study programs	10	

6.3 Collaboration and Partnership in Research

Standard 6.3: Academic staff associated with the study program is encouraged and supported to collaborate with both national and international partners in their research endeavors.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Engagement of staff in community- related research and development services	8	Staff are engaged, but activities may be fully institutionalized and broadly recognized within the community.
Establishment and maintenance of collaborative research ties with other HEIs	8	Collaborations exist, but should increase and should be broadened in scope. The continuity and international reach should increase
Involvement and support for collaborations with local business partners	9	Partnerships are active, but could be further strengthened through more structured frameworks or long-term strategic agreements.
Active participation in technology transfer and knowledge sharing with industry and the public sector	9	Engagement is evident, but needs deeper long-term partnerships and more systematic tracking of impact and outcomes.

6.4 Integration of Research into Teaching

Standard 6.4: Academic staff involved in the study program integrates their research outcomes into their teaching methodologies and topics.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Incorporation of research results into teaching by the academic staff	8	Research is integrated, but not consistently across all courses, and some teaching relies more on established content than on latest findings.
Active engagement of students in research activities alongside the academic staff	9	Student involvement is strong, but could be broadened through more structured research projects, wider participation across programs, or increased publication opportunities.

Chapter 7: Infrastructure and Resources

7.1 Adequate Premises and Equipment

Standard 7.1: The higher education institution provides suitable infrastructure, including premises and equipment, to facilitate educational and research activities.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Adequacy of premises and equipment for educational and research activities	10	
Equipped laboratories with IT technologies for necessary curriculum activities	10	
Access to necessary software with valid licenses	10	
Functionality of infrastructure for enrolled student capacity	10	
Facilities adapted for students with special needs	8	Adaptations are in place, but do notfully cover all accessibility requirements, assistive technologies, and inclusive support services.

7.2 Library Resources

Standard 7.2: The higher education institution ensures that library resources are ample and suitable for the study program.

Matrix for Self-Evaluation:

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Availability of reading rooms, group work rooms, and relevant book stock	8	Facilities are available, but capacity should be increased
Extended operating hours for library services	10	
Adequate seating in reading and group work rooms based on student population	8	Seating is generally sufficient, but peak times may reveal shortages or limited flexibility in room allocation.
Stock of recent and relevant books and electronic resources	10	
Subscriptions to local and international publications and periodicals	10	

7.3 Funding for Educational Activities and Research

Standard 7.3: The study program receives adequate funding to support its intended educational and research objectives.

Indicator	Rating (1-10)	Comments
Existence of a sustainable financial plan for the study program	10	
Acquisition of additional funding through projects, partnerships, and community collaboration	8	Funding efforts are visible, but scope is limited, with potential to diversify sources further.
Utilization of extra financial resources for program enhancement	8	Resources are used effectively, but impact should be fully documented, or allocation could be more strategically targeted.