

FACULTY OF SPORT SCIENCE AND MOVEMENT QUALITY ASSURANCE SUB-COMMITTEE

ANNUAL QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

September, 2022

CONTENT

1. INTRODUCTION	. 3
2. FINDINGS	3
3. IMPROVEMENT PLAN	4
4. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE IMPROVEMENT PLAN	8

1. INTRODUCTION

The object of this report is to analyze the results of the student satisfaction level and the proposed mechanisms for improving some of the indicators.

The Sub-Committee on Quality of the Faculty of Sport Science and Movement points out that in addition to the above average level of achievement of indicators, the object of analysis remains the indicators which are not only evaluated lower but at the same time the same are underlined in the students' comments.

Based on Sub-Goal 4.2 of the 5 year Strategic Plan of the Faculty of Sport Science and Movement which can be found online at ------ according to which the student satisfaction threshold with the elements of teaching and pedagogy should be above 4.0 or 80%, the present quality report analyzes the satisfaction averages for each semester, each professor and each element of the questionnaire sent by the Central Quality Office of UBT College.

2. FINDINGS

Overall, the above reports have shown a high level of student satisfaction with the teaching elements. Also, the second report has shown a high level of satisfaction in terms of elements related to the defined goals of each professor within the Individual Development Plans.

Despite the high satisfaction, the reports have identified several elements where there may be an increased focus in order to improve the quality, especially in the following aspects: teaching methods, promoting interactivity and collaboration with students.

- 1. Lecture methods;
- 2. Encouragement of interaction; and
- 3. Cooperation with students;
- 4. Assessment methods;

3. QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The Sub-Committee on Quality of the Faculty of Sport Science and Movement, after analyzing the satisfaction reports and findings, even from the previous academic years, shows that it is a novelty for high-level students to comment on the method of teaching. At this point, we consider that this is related to the high level of development of lessons through online classes and consequently the challenges faced by students. Also, there is an overlap in the way of perception by students of the question about the cooperation with the professor and that referred to the level of interactivity in the classroom.

Meanwhile, referring to the results of the question on assessment methods, we emphasize that the answers refer to only some of the activities developed during the semester (assessment in seminars, course assignments, or partial exams) and that most express the ratio between success level and claimed assessment. This indicator should be treated with caution to increase the level of clarity or transparency about the level of expected learning outcomes.

The sub-committee has analyzed the following data for each staff member and crossreferenced in different years and programs and finally recommends, in order to improve the quality, to take the following actions:

No.	Indicator	Actions	Timeline
1.	Lecture methods	1. Reviewing the learning outcomes by each professor and identification of additional learning methods that are better aligned with the achievement of these outcomes. 2. Training related to lecture methods; 2. Regular peer discussions among academic staff especially between those that received high satisfaction rates for the purpose of exchange of	Before the beginning of the semester
		experiences between peers;	

2. 1. Training Encouragement of interaction for Before the beginning academic staff of semester on Socratic teaching methods 2. Training on Deep Learning; 3. Encouragement of case study based teaching for students; 4. Applying teaching activities through small group reflection in the classroom. where the student responds/adds information obtained or based on their experience; 5. Application teaching activities through reflection small groups in the classroom, where the student responds adds information acquired or based on their experience; 6. Reflection on the quality of the comments and the evaluation given to the students for their answers; 7. Supporting students to improve expressive skills and stimulating

	students, which, facilitate and encourage them to be active and responsive.	
--	---	--

3. 1. Cooperation with students Involvement of During the semester students in group work 2. Regular discussions between students and professors the regarding need for improvement and eventual changes; 3. Student support for the problems they are experiencing and increasing their level of care or interest in their challenges through Individuals who are identified identifying the level of knowledge in order to increase motivation. 4. Supporting students in the problems they and pose increasing the level of care or interest in their challenges through individual identification of shortcomings they show, identifying the level of

		knowledge in order to increase motivation.	
4	Assessment methods	1. Increasing the transparency and level of clarity of students on the expected learning outcomes; 2. Analysis by the Faculty Council of the level of implementation of consultations after the examination and approach of professor to consultations;	
5	Add interdisciplinary courses that assist the smoother labor transition	During curriculum review process	During the review process
6	_	Organize consultations Workshop with focus groups	2022-2023

4. IMPLEMENTATION OF THE QUALITY IMPROVEMENT PLAN

The quality improvement plan shall be implemented upon approval by the Faculty Council. The Quality Sub-Committee shall implement the plan on a semester basis and shall report to the Faculty Council on the level of implementation.

QUALITY SUB-COMMITTEE

DATE: 16.09.2022

Prof. Asoc. Dr. Masar Gjaka

Assistant Professor Dr. Agron Thaqi